Paper Grading Rubric

© Kevin Patton 2024

| Category | Component | Excellent | Good | Satisfactory | Needs Improvement |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Thesis | Clarity | The thesis statement is exceptionally clear, expresses the writer's central argument in precise language, and leaves no room for misinterpretation. | The thesis statement is clear and understandable, conveying the writer's main point of argument. | The thesis statement attempts to articulate the main argument, but may be somewhat vague or lack focus. | The thesis statement is either poorly expressed, overly broad, or difficult to understand, making the writer's position unclear. |
| | Conciseness | The thesis statement is expressed in a succinct manner, using the necessary words to convey the core argument without wordiness. | The thesis statement is generally concise, although it might contain a few unnecessary words or phrases. | The thesis statement contains some wordiness or unnecessary complexity, detracting from its impact. | The thesis statement is overly wordy, convoluted, or includes irrelevant information, making it difficult to grasp the central argument. |
| | Stated Early | The thesis statement is presented early in the introduction, ideally as the final sentence, clearly establishing the direction of the essay. | The thesis statement appears within the introduction, providing a roadmap for the reader, although its placement might be slightly later than ideal. | The thesis statement is present in the essay but is either placed too late or buried within a paragraph, affecting the clarity of the introduction. | The thesis statement is either missing entirely or appears much later in the essay, leaving the reader without direction for a significant portion of the text. |
| Exposition | Background | Background is well-explained and pertinent, concepts are defined, and the information presented is highly accurate. | Relevant background is included, offering a basic understanding of the context surrounding the main topic. | Some background is present, but it may lack depth or have only a loose connection to the main topic. | Background is either absent, irrelevant, or confusing, hindering the reader's understanding of the topic. |
| | Clarity | The exposition is exceptionally clear, with easy-to-follow logic and precise language. | The exposition is mostly clear, with generally understandable explanations and language. | The exposition has moments of clarity, but some explanations are confusing or the language is overly complex. | The exposition is generally unclear, making it difficult to understand the concepts and arguments presented. |
| | Terminology | All key terms are defined expertly, using clear and accurate language tailored to the reader's understanding. | Most key terms are defined adequately, providing the reader with a basic understanding of their meanings. | Some key terms are defined, but definitions might be incomplete or use overly technical language. | Key terms are either missing definitions or the definitions are inaccurate or misleading. |
| Argument | Structure | Key terms are either missing definitions or the definitions are inaccurate or misleading. | The argument generally follows a logical structure, but transitions might be weak or the organization could be improved for better clarity. | The argument structure shows some organization, but there might be logical jumps or a lack of clear connections between points. | The argument lacks a clear structure, making it difficult to follow the reasoning and evidence presented. |
| | Strength | The argument is convincingly supported with strong evidence (facts, statistics, examples) that clearly connect to the claims being made. | The argument is mostly supported with relevant evidence, but some evidence might be weak or lack a direct connection to the claims. | The argument includes some evidence, but the evidence might be limited, questionable, or not fully address the opposing viewpoints. | The argument lacks sufficient or convincing evidence, relying on weak claims, irrelevant information, or unsupported assertions. |
| | Validity | The argument is logically sound and free from fallacies. It considers opposing viewpoints and addresses them fairly. | The argument is generally valid, but there might be minor logical flaws or a lack of consideration for some opposing viewpoints. | The argument shows some logical reasoning, but there might be inconsistencies or fallacies that weaken its validity. It might not address opposing viewpoints adequately. | The argument contains significant logical flaws or fallacies, making it unconvincing. It might completely disregard opposing viewpoints. |
| Organization | Introduction | The introduction effectively grabs the reader's attention, clearly establishes the topic and context, and presents a strong, well-defined thesis statement. | The introduction introduces the topic, provides some context, and includes a thesis statement, though it might lack clarity or an engaging opening. | The introduction attempts to introduce the topic, but lacks a clear focus or a well-defined thesis statement. | The introduction is missing, vague, or fails to establish the essay's central focus and argue a position. |
| | Body Paragraphs | Each body paragraph focuses on a single main point that relates back to the thesis, presents evidence logically, and uses smooth transitions to connect ideas. | Body paragraphs generally focus on supporting the thesis, but they might occasionally drift in focus or lack strong transitions. | Body paragraphs might show some connection to the thesis, but the organization is inconsistent or the transitions are abrupt. | Body paragraphs lack clear focus, with evidence and ideas presented with little connection to the thesis or in a disorganized fashion. |
| | Conclusion | The conclusion effectively summarizes the main arguments, restates the thesis in a new way, and leaves a lasting impression on the reader. | The conclusion summarizes the main points and restates the thesis, but might lack a strong final impact. | The conclusion attempts to summarize the arguments, but lacks a clear restatement of the thesis or leaves the reader with a weak ending. | The conclusion either is missing, fails to summarize the main ideas, or simply restates the thesis without further development. |